

EXERCISES FROM THE TEXT BOOK ("SET THEORY", CHARLES PINTER)

EXERCISES 1.6

Exercise 11 (check [Pin71, ex. 11, page 45]). Prove that $\cap(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) = (\cap\mathcal{A}) \cap (\cup\mathcal{B})$.

Solution.

$$(1) \quad x \in \cap(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})$$

$$(2) \quad (1) \Rightarrow \forall y \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} (x \in y)$$

$$(3) \quad (2) \Rightarrow \forall y \in \mathcal{A} (x \in y)$$

then

$$(4) \quad x \in \cap\mathcal{A}$$

$$(5) \quad (2) \Rightarrow \forall y \in \mathcal{B} (x \in y)$$

then

$$(6) \quad x \in \cap\mathcal{B}.$$

$$(7) \quad (4) \wedge (6) \Rightarrow x \in (\cap\mathcal{A}) \cap (\cap\mathcal{B})$$

Then

$$(8) \quad x \in (\cap\mathcal{A}) \cap (\cap\mathcal{B})$$

and

$$\cap(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \subseteq (\cap\mathcal{A}) \cap (\cup\mathcal{B}).$$

Conversely, suppose that

$$x \in (\cap\mathcal{A}) \cap (\cup\mathcal{B}).$$

Then, (5) and (6) hold. Then

$$\forall y \in \mathcal{A} (x \in y)$$

and

$$\forall y \in \mathcal{B} (x \in y)$$

whence

$$\forall y \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} (x \in y)$$

and

$$x \in \cap(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}).$$

□

Exercise 12 (check [Pin71, ex. 12, page 45]). Prove each of the following

- If $A \in \mathcal{B}$, then $A \subseteq \cup\mathcal{B}$ and $\cap\mathcal{B} \subseteq A$
- $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\cup\mathcal{A} \subseteq \cup\mathcal{B}$ (the implication \Leftarrow is false, check the counterexample in the solution)
- If $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\cap\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$

Solution. a). We prove that $A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{B}$.

Let $x \in A$. By the definition of union, $x \in \cup \mathcal{B}$ if and only if there exists a class $y \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in y$. This class is A .

We prove that

$$\cap \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}.$$

Let $x \in \cap \mathcal{B}$; then, for every $z \in \mathcal{B}$ there holds $x \in z$. In particular, if $z = A$, we obtain $x \in A$.

b). We prove

$$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \cup \mathcal{A} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{B}.$$

$$(9) \quad x \in \cup \mathcal{A}$$

$$(10) \quad x \in \cup \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \exists y \in \mathcal{A} (x \in y).$$

$$(11) \quad y \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow y \in \mathcal{B}$$

$$(12) \quad (x \in y) \wedge (y \in \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow x \in \cup \mathcal{B}.$$

The implication $\cup \mathcal{A} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is false: if there are at least three elements and Axioms **A2,3** holds, we can set

$$\mathcal{A} := \{\{x\}, \{y, z\}\}, \quad \mathcal{B} := \{\{x, y\}, \{z\}\}.$$

Clearly,

$$\cup \mathcal{A} = \{x\} \cup \{y, z\} = \{x, y, z\}$$

and

$$\cup \mathcal{B} = \{x, y\} \cup \{z\} = \{x, y, z\}.$$

However

$$\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset.$$

c). If $\cap \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$, there exists $x \in \cap \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (x \in A).$$

In particular, if $A = \emptyset$, we obtain $x \in \emptyset$ which contradicts the definition of \emptyset . □

REFERENCES

Pin71. Charles C. Pinter. *Set theory*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1971.